

**REMARKS**

In accordance with the Office Action to the present patent application mailed 01/14/2005, Claims 1, 2, 11, 12, 15 and 17 were allowed, Claims 3, 5, and 6 were rejected, and Claims 4-6, 10, 13, 14, and 16 were objected. The applicant has carefully analyzed the claims and submits the amended claims with corrections in accordance with the Examiner's notes. The repeated claims were canceled in order to obviate the problem of double patenting. All independent claims were allowed, and there was no need rewrite the objected claims in independent form. However, their dependence was changed accordingly.

One sentence was added to the broadening paragraph. The title was changed since the method is not claimed.

We selected Fig. 19 for printing on the title page together with the abstract, since it shows the auxiliary insert mentioned in the main Claim 1.

Applicant submits that this application is now in condition for allowance.

**Conditional Request For Constructive Assistance**

Applicant has made a diligent effort to amend the claims of this application so that they define novel structure which is also unobvious. If, for any reason, the Examiner believes that the claims of this application are not yet in full condition for allowance, applicant respectfully requests his constructive assistance and suggestions pursuant to the spirit of MPEP § 2173.02 and § 707.07(j). This will enable the undersigned to place this application in fully allowable condition as soon as possible and without the need for further proceedings. The Examiner is authorized to make any needed minor corrections or changes.

Very respectfully,  
Applicant



**DISCUSSION OF AMENDMENTS TO THE DRAWINGS:**

The drawing selected for the Abstract of the present patent specification is Fig. 19.